



Newfoundland & Labrador Beekeeping Association

429 Windgap Rd., Flatrock, NL, CANADA, A1K 1C4

709-437-5155

www.nlbeekeeping.ca

Research Priorities

Table of Contents

Preamble.....	2
1. Inventory, pathogen profiles, and monitoring	2
a. Inventory native bee species in Labrador	2
b. Obtain baseline data related to the health of native bees in Labrador.....	3
c. Inventory native bee species on the Island of Newfoundland.....	4
d. Obtain baseline data related to the health of native bees on the Island of Newfoundland	4
e. A field study of our northernmost wild pollinator species	4
f. Ongoing monitoring of honey bee health	5
2. Genetics and breeding.....	7
a. Baseline study of NL honey bee genetics.....	7
3. Honey bee forage research.....	9
4. Pollination research	10
a. Verification of existing pollination studies	10
b. Native bee species in relation to crop pollination	11
c. Nesting ecology of the key native <i>Bombus</i> species.....	12
5. Market research for the apicultural industry in NL	13
6. Best practices for honey bee management in a NL context.....	16
a. Indoor wintering of honey bees.....	16
b. Winter feeding of honey bees in NL	16
c. Early season mating and nucleus colony development	17
Appendix 1. Excerpts from Supporting References	18
2a. Baseline study of NL honey bee genetics.....	18
3. Honey Bee Forage Research	18
5. Market research for the apicultural industry in NL	20
References	22

Preamble

Compared to other parts of North America, beekeeping is relatively new to the Canadian province of Newfoundland and Labrador (NL). From what we know of the historic record, Leander L. Davis was the first person to keep honey bees in Newfoundland, at Harbour Grace, circa 1929. However, modern-day beekeeping in the province owes its origins largely to the pioneering efforts of Wally Skinner who started beekeeping in 1974 (Hicks, 2014).

As of February 2017, there were about 500 honey bee colonies in the province managed by approximately 50 beekeepers (Canadian Association of Professional Apiculturists, 2017). Beekeeping has clearly taken off as both a hobby craft and commercial activity with six beekeepers having a commercial focus. They sell honey, beeswax, pollen, and other products as well as offering pollination services to small fruit producers. It is the spring climatic conditions that have been the single most limiting factor in terms of expanding the apicultural industry here. However, with new technologies and methods, NL beekeepers expect to overcome the climatic challenge in the near future. We are optimistic that we can significantly expand the number of beekeepers and colonies in the province over the next 10 years so that we can improve not only our domestic market share of bee-related products but also offer various products for export nationally and internationally. In order to accomplish these goals, we need to retain our status as a relatively disease-free refugia for honey bees.

With the assistance of its Research Committee (RC), the Newfoundland and Labrador Beekeeping Association (NLBKA) has identified several research priorities concerning honey bees and wild pollinator species in NL. Researchers are invited to develop research proposals consistent with these priorities, and to submit them to the NLBKA's RC for review and possible endorsement. Information concerning the RC's Terms of Reference and *modus operandi* are provided on the Association's website <http://www.nlbeekeeping.ca/about-us/research-committee/>

1. Inventory, pathogen profiles, and monitoring

a. Inventory native bee species in Labrador

Problem

With the exception of limited, desk-top, data-mining using archival sources (e.g., by Cory Scheffield) and fieldwork by Laura Siegwart Collier, a biology student at Memorial University who collected *Vaccinium* pollinators in the Torngat region, very little is known about the native bee species in Labrador.¹ The full diversity of *Bombus* and non-*Bombus* native bee species needs to be determined, as well as an assessment of their distribution and abundance. There is

¹ See Williams, et al., 2014; Shelly Moores, personal communication with Peter Armitage, 21 Feb. 2017; Luise Hermanutz, personal communication with Peter Armitage, 13 Feb. 2017.

an urgent need for such inventory work given the imminent re-introduction of *Apis mellifera* colonies to Upper Lake Melville and other parts of Labrador and the need to conduct a risk assessment with respect to possible pathogen spillover from *A. mellifera* to *Bombus* species. (see Colla, et al., 2006; Fürst, et al., 2014; Graystock, et al., 2013a; Graystock, et al., 2013b; Graystock, et al., 2015; Manley, et al., 2015; and McMahon, et al., 2015). Climate change related research also requires the establishment of baseline inventories of the native bee species of Labrador (see below).²

Research needed

Research is urgently needed to inventory the native bee species of Labrador to support other research related to baseline pathogen profiles of these bees and climate change.

b. Obtain baseline data related to the health of native bees in Labrador

Problem

To-date there are no data available concerning the pathogen, disease, and pest profiles of native bees in Labrador. At the same time, there is a growing interest in beekeeping in parts of Labrador which would require the re-introduction of *Apis mellifera* colonies there. *A. mellifera* colonies have been kept in the Upper Lake Melville area and possibly the Labrador Straits in the past, but they were short-lived. No baseline pathogen research was conducted prior to their introduction. From a biodiversity, ecological, and ecosystem service perspective, it is not responsible to encourage new beekeeping in Labrador without knowing more about the health of the native bees. New pathogens, diseases and pests could be unwittingly introduced with possible negative consequences for native bee health. However, without baseline data regarding existing pathogens, long-term monitoring of native bee health and responsible management of *A. mellifera* re-introduction is greatly impaired if not impossible.

Research needed

Research is urgently needed regarding the existing pathogen, disease, and pest profiles of native bees in Labrador for long-term monitoring, climate change research, as well as risk assessment related to the re-introduction of *Apis mellifera* colonies there. Research elsewhere in North American and Europe provides evidence of pathogen spillover between *A. mellifera* and *Bombus* species (see Colla, et al., 2006; Fürst, et al., 2014; Graystock, et al., 2013a; Graystock, et al., 2013b; Graystock, et al., 2015; Manley, et al., 2015; and McMahon, et al., 2015). We have an opportunity to prevent or at least better manage the pathogen spillover problem in Labrador, but a baseline profile of pathogens, diseases and pests must be established before any risk assessment can be conducted and management strategies developed.

² E.g., See research conducted by The Woodard Lab, <http://www.woodardlab.com/> in particular their *Bombus polaris* and climate change study. <http://www.dispatch.com/content/stories/science/2016/10/16/01-researchers-hope-bombus-polaris-can-shed-light-on-climate-change.html>

c. Inventory native bee species on the Island of Newfoundland

With the exception of limited, desk-top, data-mining using archival sources (e.g., by Cory Scheffield) and inventory work by Barry Hicks (2015), very little is known about the native bee species on the Island of Newfoundland. The full diversity of *Bombus* and non-*Bombus* native bee species needs to be determined, as well as an assessment of their distribution and abundance.

Research needed

There is an urgent need for such inventory work given the rapid growth in commercial and hobby apiculture on the Island and the need to better understand possible pathogen spillover between *A. mellifera* and *Bombus* species there (see Colla, et al., 2006; Fürst, et al., 2014; Graystock, et al., 2013a; Graystock, et al., 2013b; Graystock, et al., 2015; Manley, et al., 2015; and McMahan, et al., 2015). Additional inventory research regarding the native bee species of Island Newfoundland is required to support other research related to baseline pathogen profiles of these bees, climate change, and long-term monitoring of native bee health and abundance.

d. Obtain baseline data related to the health of native bees on the Island of Newfoundland

To-date there are little data available concerning the pathogen, disease, and pest profiles of most native bees on the Island of Newfoundland. At the same time, we are experiencing a rapid growth in commercial and hobby apiculture here. There is an urgent need for more research on the current pathogen profiles of native bee species given the rapid growth in commercial and hobby apiculture on the Island and the need to better understand possible pathogen spillover between *A. mellifera* and other bee species on the Island (see Colla, et al., 2006; Fürst, et al., 2014; Graystock, et al., 2013a; Graystock, et al., 2013b; Graystock, et al., 2015; Manley, et al., 2015; and McMahan, et al., 2015).

Research needed

Research is urgently needed regarding the existing pathogen, disease, and pest profiles of native bees on the Island of Newfoundland for long-term monitoring, and ongoing assessment of pathogen spillovers between *A. mellifera* and *Bombus* species (see Colla, et al., 2006; Fürst, et al., 2014; Graystock, et al., 2013a; Graystock, et al., 2013b; Graystock, et al., 2015; Lebuhn, et al., 2012; Manley, et al., 2015; and McMahan, et al., 2015).

e. A field study of our northernmost wild pollinator species

Problem

With the exception of limited, desk-top, data-mining using archival sources (e.g., by Cory Scheffield) and fieldwork by Laura Siegwart Collier, a biology student at Memorial University

who collected *Vaccinium* pollinators in the Torngat region, very little is known about the native bee species in Labrador.³ These research efforts point to the presence of *B. sandersoni*, *B. frigidus*, *B. mixtus*, *B. ternarius*, *B. sylvicola*, *B. melanopygus*, *B. polaris*, *B. balteatus*, *B. hyperboreus*, *B. terricola*, and *B. bohemicus* in Labrador (Williams, et al., 2014).

Research needed

People interested in climate change research with an entomological orientation may wish to focus their research on the aforementioned species found in Labrador.⁴

f. Ongoing monitoring of honey bee health⁵

Problem

Prior to 2016, NL honey bees were surveyed for pathogens in 2004 (Rogers unpublished), 2007 (Williams and Rogers unpublished), 2009 (Williams, et al., 2010), and 2010 (Shutler, et al. 2014). *V. destructor* and *A. woodii* were not detected during the 2004 survey, however, *Nosema apis* was detected in 2007 (Williams, 2010:3). During the 2016 season, provincial apiarist, Karen Kennedy, sampled several apiaries for various pathogens as part of the Canadian National Honey Bee Health Survey.⁶ She also conducted a rolling 100% sample of all honey bee packages imported from Western Australia (WA) in April 2016. Colonies were sampled for *Nosema*, *Varroa*, tracheal mite, American Foulbrood, European Foulbrood, Chalkbrood, Acute Bee Paralysis Virus, Black Queen Cell Virus, Chronic Bee Paralysis Virus, Deformed Wing Virus, Israeli Acute Paralysis Virus, Kashmir Bee Virus, and Sacbrood Virus. Kennedy reported on the results of the testing to the NLBKA at its Annual General Meeting on 5 November 2016. It appears that no testing has been done to-date with any NL honey bees as far as Cloudy Wing Virus, Invertebrate Iridescent Viruses, the “B” strain of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV-B), the Lake Sinai Viruses, and Slow Paralysis Virus are concerned, although the latter two viruses will be included in the 2017 Canadian National Honey Bee Health Survey (National Bee Diagnostic Centre, 2016; see also Bromenshenk, et al., 2010; Daughenbaugh, et al., 2015; McMahon, 2016).

The strategy of the National Honey Bee Health Survey is as follows:

To index bee health, samples are collected from across Canada- with a goal of collecting from 0.5% of registered hives. Each apiary-level sample will be a composite from 10 randomly selected colonies (~100 bees/colony) for a total of ~1000 bees. Most of these

³ See Williams, et al., 2014; Shelly Moores, personal communication with Peter Armitage, 21 Feb. 2017; Luise Hermanutz, personal communication with Peter Armitage, 13 Feb. 2017.

⁴ E.g., See research conducted by The Woodard Lab, <http://www.woodardlab.com/> in particular their *Bombus polaris* and climate change study. <http://www.dispatch.com/content/stories/science/2016/10/16/01-researchers-hope-bombus-polaris-can-shed-light-on-climate-change.html>

⁵ For the purpose of this priority statement the term “pathogen” refers to pathogens, diseases and pests of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*).

⁶ See https://www.gprc.ab.ca/research/initiatives/nbdc/projects/current/nat_survey.html

bees will be shipped live to the NBDC-TAC in miniature battery boxes. Another portion of these bees will be preserved in alcohol and sent to the same location.

Samples will be analyzed for American Foulbrood and resistance strains, European Foulbrood, Nosema count and species identification, Varroa mite infestation levels, tracheal mites as well as exotic pests. In addition, samples will be tested for seven honey bee viruses including: Acute Bee Paralysis Virus, Black Queen Cell Virus, Chronic Bee Paralysis Virus, Deformed Wing Virus, Israeli Acute Bee Paralysis Virus, Kashmir Bee Virus, and Sacbrood Virus. By the third year (Summer 2016), samples will additionally be tested for hybridization with African races of honey bees and in the fourth year (Summer 2017), two additional viruses: Lake Sinai Virus and Slow Paralysis Virus, as well as chemical residue analysis will be added to the panel.

Visual evaluations in the field are also performed to detect various pests, pathogens and queen conditions. Samples are collected between July and August, before fall treatment of colonies for *Varroa* or *Nosema*.⁷

Results of the National Honey Bee Health Surveys are published in report format by the National Bee Diagnostic Centre (NBDC). A brief methods statement is provided with the reports (e.g., see NBDC, 2015). Presumably, additional methodological details can be obtained by contacting Dr. Carlos Castillo, Applied Scientist and Manager at the NBDC.

Ideally, record keeping related to pathogens found in *Apis mellifera* colonies in NL should encompass details of sampling methods (geographic area, age and sex of bees if possible), time of the year, etc.) as well as details of the scientific protocols used by the agencies doing the testing. In the latter, methodological details are crucial as inadvertent false positives or negatives may occur. In addition, as experimental methods evolve and become more sensitive, previously negative results may prove positive. Thus, replicate DNA samples from each testing survey should be stored for future consideration or potential repeat tests. It is paramount that at least a limited number of people in NL (e.g., from academia and/or provincial Department of Fisheries and Land Resources) have a complete understanding of the science and logistics involved to appropriately evaluate the results of pathogen monitoring. Monitoring data should be freely available upon request to any of the stakeholders and/or be posted on a public website.

Research needed

The RC has not yet identified additional pathogen-related research of benefit to the province's honey bees and beekeeping, especially given that testing by the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador and the NBDC is conducted on an annual basis. However, research to develop a complete profile of endemic honey bee viruses in NL may facilitate thorough long-term monitoring and assessment of the potential for pathogen spillover between *Apis* and non-*Apis* bee species.

⁷See https://www.gprc.ab.ca/research/initiatives/nbdc/projects/current/nat_survey.html

The NLBKA welcomes additional scientific research with respect to our endemic pathogens, particularly if it will advance scientific knowledge, help NL beekeepers monitor and manage pathogens, and assess the risks of pathogen spillover among *Apis* and non-*Apis* insect species.

The RC will ask the NBDC and the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador's provincial apiarist for methodological details concerning results of testing of pathogens when the need arises. The RC will communicate with the NBDC to ascertain its capacity for the long-term storage of testing samples, and whether or not researchers may have access to such samples for research purposes.

2. Genetics and breeding

a. Baseline study of NL honey bee genetics

Problem

The NL strain of honey bees has many desirable traits: it is a winter hardy and very gentle bee; its numbers build gradually in the spring but is an explosive breeder when the weather improves; and it is economical in its consumption of winter stores, yet it is also a good honey producer. The genetics of this strain are a bit of a “dog’s breakfast” originating in the mid-1970s, having an *Apis mellifera ligustica* base, but with some Carniolan, Buckfast, and Russian Primorksy ingredients stirred in.

Pioneering beekeeper, Wally Skinner, and his daughters Alison van Alten and Andrea Skinner have played the primary role in shaping the genetics of these bees.⁸ Until recently, Andrea and Paige Marchant, co-owners of the Newfoundland Bee Company, have been the sole purveyors of nucleus colonies and queens to other beekeepers in the province. Gerard Smith of G & M Family Farm started selling nucs and queens in 2015 but his stock derives entirely from the Skinner strain. Trevor Tuck of Tuck’s Bee Better Farm started selling queens derived from the Skinner strain starting in ca. 2015-2016.

Wally Skinner first purchased honey bees from Al Flemming in Nova Scotia ca. 1974 (Hicks, 2014:13). These were derived from imported U.S. packaged bees, likely Carniolans with some Buckfast genetics from Weaver Apiaries of Texas, that were being used on the John L. Bragg blueberry farm operations in Nova Scotia (Oxford Frozen Foods).⁹ Skinner began overwintering his bees around 1980 when the provincial government imposed import restrictions (Hicks, 2014:13). Henceforth, queen and egg imports to the province would require a government permit. At some point early on, Skinner purchased a queen from Jerry Draheim (Pugwash,

⁸ Wally Skinner personal communication with Peter Armitage, 10 January 2017. See also Hicks (2014).

⁹ See Flemming’s beekeeping biography. “Al thinks he has got some good bees: mainly Carniolans with some Buckfast genetics from Weaver Apiaries of Texas that pre-date Nova Scotia’s flirtation with Brother Adam’s bees, and the residue of Philip Bishop’s rigorous selection” <http://www.nsbeekeepers.ca/profilesDetail.php?3>

Nova Scotia area).¹⁰ He also purchased queens a couple of years from Silas Thompson in Grand Falls-Windsor. Now retired from beekeeping, Thompson obtained his bees from other parts of Canada (Quebec, Ontario and Nova Scotia) as well as from Australia and New Zealand (Hicks, 2014:14). In the mid-2000s, Wally and/or his daughter, Andrea, imported eggs derived from Ontario bee stock as well as the Ontario Russian bee breeding program with the view to enhancing the disease resistance of their strain.¹¹ Finally, the Skinners imported 10 Hawaiian queens in 2008 (Williams, 2010:3). It appears that they have not purchased bees from the late Tom Northcott, Aubrey Goulding or any other beekeepers on the Island of Newfoundland, who obtained their bees separately. In April 2016, Trevor Tuck of Tuck's Bee Better Farm, and Chris Lester imported 130 packages of bees from Western Australia. Pending confirmation by Western Australian sources, it is assumed that these bees are virtually pure *Apis mellifera ligustica* stock (Chapman and Oldroyd, 2008).¹²

Research needed

The above description of the history of our current honey bee strain is incomplete and imprecise and does not constitute a genetic profile *per se* (see Walke, 2015:13). Research is required to develop an accurate genetic profile of our domestic honey bee strain to provide guidance for future breeding programs.¹³ For example, whatever varroosis resistance was bred into this strain through the efforts of the Skinner family, it is likely to have receded significantly over the last ten years given the fact that hygienic behaviours and other forms of resistance are not stable over multiple generations.¹⁴ Genetics research could also guide breeding with respect to improving winter hardiness and other traits, guide individual or collective beekeeper breeding strategies, and assist commercial beekeepers in out-of-province marketing of queens and other clean-bee products.

For excerpts from supporting references see Appendix 1 (7).

¹⁰ See <http://www.nsbeekeepers.ca/profilesDetail.php?13>

¹¹ "The Russian bees did not come from Quebec. Ontario imported them from the U.S. There was a M.Sc. Project by Geoff Wilson under the direction of Medhat Nasr. We had a Russian bee breeder in Ontario for years named Francois Petit who handled all the imported Russian stock" (Alison van Alten email to Peter Armitage, 12 January 2017). See also <http://www.chathamdailynews.ca/2010/06/18/russian-honeybees-found-to-have-greater-resistance-to-disease> and <http://www.ontariobee.com/outreach/2004Research>

¹² Details concerning Western Australian breeding programs are currently unknown. It is not known whether all 130 colonies were re-queened, and if so what strain the new queens are derived from, or how they were mated (e.g, by drones from the Skinner strain or Western Australian colonies).

¹³ In the summer of 2016, Memorial University (Grenfell) professor, Julie Sircom, contacted beekeepers in the province to request samples of their honey bees. Her purpose is "to look at a suite of microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA that will give the big picture of diversity, i.e., the number of loci at each microsatellite as a measure of inbreeding and 'genetic distance' among different areas of the province, as well as information on matriline" (email to Peter Armitage, 21 November 2016).

¹⁴ See <https://content.ces.ncsu.edu/comparison-of-russian-and-italian-honey-bees>

3. Honey bee forage research

Problem

Until relatively recently, the quality, quantity and location of honey bee forage has not been a major concern for beekeepers in NL. Beekeeping remains in its infancy with fewer than 50 beekeepers managing approximately 500 colonies at last count. Six people have nascent commercial operations that are producing honey and other products for domestic sale and are offering pollination services to small fruit growers on the Island of Newfoundland. At least one commercial operator has established out-apiaries for the purpose of producing mono-floral honey products (e.g., fireweed in the Cormack region). There is anecdotal evidence that lack of forage is an issue for some beekeepers in some parts of the Island in terms of limiting the number of colonies that can be pastured at certain locations.

However, with expanding commercial operations on the horizon and a rapid increase in hobby beekeepers, there is potential for beekeepers to exhaust local bee pastures (Al-Ghamdi, et al., 2014; McRory, 2015; Vautour, 2014). Beekeepers know in general terms that their bees obtain much of their nectar and pollen from a limited number of plant species, e.g., alder, pussy willow, maple, dandelion, clover, fireweed, goldenrod and bog aster. However, there are no data available to the beekeeping community concerning the abundance and distribution of these species that would allow for systematic planning and management of apiary locations and numbers. Furthermore, little is known about the nutritional qualities of local alder species and other plants that may be of potential value to honey bees (e.g., coltsfoot, pin cherry, hawkweed).

In his recent study of the beekeeping industry in NL, Walke (2015:22) reports that one of his respondents identified the need for an adequate land base and floral source as a major challenge to the sustainability of his operation. “Another respondent also mentioned carrying capacity (maximum population sustainable given the available food resources) of his region as a concern” (ibid.).

Research needed

Beekeepers in NL need forage-related research conducted that will address three aspects of forage – what type of forage, where it is found, and when it can be accessed by honey bees. Research data on honey bee forage could assist beekeepers in planning apiary locations, lobbying governments to enhance forage in some areas, monitoring of forage capacity in some regions, etc. (see Decourtye, et al., 2010; Goulson, et al., 2015).

What — the forage species in the province that can be accessed by honey bees. We need a proper inventory of our bee forage species. This topic also includes the nutritional quality and quantity of this forage, and the carrying capacity of an available forage area (i.e., the number of colonies it will support) (see Girard, et al., 2012).

Where — the geographic location of these forage species (concentrations in particular areas). Priorities are to (a) help beekeepers find suitable apiary locations, and (b) manage apiary placement in potentially congested areas such as the Northeast Avalon. NLBKA may wish to make recommendations to various municipalities, developers, and the provincial Department of Highways re. lawn mowing schedules, re-vegetation strategies along roadsides, etc. so as to optimize forage in certain locations.

When — the phenology of these forage species (i.e., the time of the year when they produce pollen and nectar).

Agricultural lands and pollination

Research related to improving pollinator habitat around agricultural lands could be of benefit to honey bees and native pollinators (see Blaauw and Isaacs, 2014; Carvell, et al., 2006; Garibaldi, et al., 2014; Goulson, et al., 2015; Kennedy, et al., 2013; Morandin, et al., 2007; Pywell, et al., 2006; Pywell, et al., 2005; Rundlöf, et al., 2008; Wratten, et al., 2012). Research results could have positive policy implications for the development and management of agricultural lands in NL and be of interest to the NL Horticultural Council and other members of the NL Federation of Agriculture particularly those who produce crops dependent upon insect pollination.

“It would be advantageous to conduct studies on the floral abundance and diversity within ecoregions in Newfoundland in order to better understand target locations for potential apicultural development as well as assess the carrying capacity of different areas on the island. That being said, local knowledge (from experienced farmers and beekeepers in particular) may be valuable and should not be overlooked” (Walke, 2015:22).

For excerpts from supporting references see Appendix 1 (8).

4. Pollination research

a. Verification of existing pollination studies

Problem

Honey bees are used widely throughout North America and Europe for the commercial pollination of a variety of crops including blueberry and cranberry. The provision of pollination services is a major source of income for many beekeepers, and is a determining factor in the economic success or failure of many beekeeping enterprises.

In 2008 and 2009, Hicks (2011) conducted research on the impact of *Bombus impatiens* and *Apis mellifera* pollination on commercial blueberry fields. The honey bee component was confined to 2009 at which time eight colonies were placed in two fields at stocking rates of 4 hives/3 ha, and 4 hives/2 ha respectively (ibid.:110). Climatic conditions at the time of the field research are not described. Hicks concluded that “the stocking rates of *Bombus impatiens* and *Apis mellifera* observed in this study failed to increase fruit set compared to un-supplemented

areas and thus does not support their use by farmers in eastern Newfoundland. However, increasing the stocking rates may increase pollination, but presently it is unknown if that would result in greater fruit production. As the bee fauna throughout Newfoundland is not well known, additional studies should be initiated to determine whether supplementation with introduced bees in those areas is worthwhile” (ibid.:116). Hicks conducted a follow-up study with *Apis mellifera* in June-July 2015 but the results were inconclusive because climatic conditions were not conducive to honey bee foraging activity.

In 2014 and 2015, Sircom (2016) conducted pollination research on four commercial cranberry farms on the Island of Newfoundland. She concluded that,

[n]o relationship between bee abundance and yield can be demonstrated in this study. Clearly bees are needed, but it appears that the native bees present are in high enough abundance to meet the plants’ pollination needs. On all farms, bees were depositing enough pollen to ensure nearly complete pollination, but variation in stigma loading was not correlated with yield. Something else, such as temperature, moisture, or soil fertility, has a greater effect on yield than the variations in bee abundance, activity, or stigma loading observed here (ibid.:30).

Research needed

Additional research is required on a priority basis to determine whether pollination by *Apis mellifera* colonies increases the productivity of blueberry, cranberry crops, strawberries and other flowering crops in NL. Such research should be multi-year to take into account climatic variables peculiar to the province as well as annual variations in native pollinator species. The research should also confirm data supporting recommended *Apis mellifera* stocking rates recommended for blueberries and cranberries on Mainland North America.

b. Native bee species in relation to crop pollination

Problem

Honey bees are used widely throughout North America and Europe for the commercial pollination of a variety of crops including blueberry and cranberry. At the same time, it is well recognized that various native bee species can play an important role in commercial crop pollination. However, their effectiveness may be seriously compromised in areas where floral diversity is greatly diminished and the application of insecticides and herbicides widespread and frequent (Carvell, et al., 2007; Pywell, et al., 2006; Pywell, et al., 2005).

In early 2017, the Cranberry Association of Newfoundland and Labrador announced its intention to ask the provincial government to allow the importation of 750 quads of *Bombus impatiens* to pollinate cranberry bogs. The NLBKA vigorously opposed this proposal citing numerous concerns including the risk of pathogen spillover from these bees to native bee species and honey bees, and the fact that *Bombus impatiens* is not native to the province.

There is a high risk that *Bombus impatiens* could become established here, and henceforth out-compete native species like *Bombus terricola*.¹⁵

Research needed

There is a general lack of knowledge regarding native bee species indigenous to the Island of Newfoundland. To the best of our knowledge, bumble bees make up only about 20% of the bee species on the Island. Moreover, there is a significant number of bee species other than bumble bees that are likely to be important pollinators of cranberry, blueberry, and native plants. However, many lay people are unable to identify these bees, and many would not even see them as bees. Therefore, it is premature to conclude that there are not enough native species to pollinate cranberries, when the full spectrum of pollinator species available in cranberry fields has not been documented. Research is needed to generate a comprehensive inventory of pollinator species able to pollinate cranberry and blueberry crops in Newfoundland, and if possible, rank them in terms of importance to fruit set and other crop productivity variables.

c. Nesting ecology of the key native *Bombus* species

Problem

In early 2017, the Cranberry Association of Newfoundland and Labrador announced its intention to ask the provincial government to allow the importation of 750 quads of *Bombus impatiens* to pollinate cranberry bogs. The NLBKA vigorously opposed this proposal citing numerous concerns including the risk of pathogen spillover from these bees to native bee species and honey bees, and the fact that *Bombus impatiens* is not native to the province. There is a high risk that *Bombus impatiens* could become established here, and henceforth out-compete native species like *Bombus terricola*.¹⁶ Commercial beekeepers can meet a large portion of the cranberry pollination demand by providing production colonies. However, cranberry producers elsewhere in Atlantic Canada pollinate their crops using a blend of *Bombus* and *Apis* pollinators, meaning that NL cranberry producers may continue to lobby government for *Bombus* imports.

In its cautionary statement about the international traffic in *Bombus* sp. for pollination purposes the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN, nd). states:

The IUCN BBSG [Bumble Bee Specialist Group] considers that the commercial movement and deployment of bumblebees for pollination should be governed by the precautionary

¹⁵ See letter from NLBKA president, Catherine Dempsey, to Honourable Steve Crocker, Minister of Fisheries and Land Resources, Government of NL, 24 February 2017 - <http://nlbeekeeping.ca/data/documents/2017-02-24-response-to-Minister-re-cranberry-assoc-final.pdf>

¹⁶ See letter from NLBKA president, Catherine Dempsey, to Honourable Steve Crocker, Minister of Fisheries and Land Resources, Government of NL, 24 February 2017 - <http://nlbeekeeping.ca/data/documents/2017-02-24-response-to-Minister-re-cranberry-assoc-final.pdf>

principle to prevent unintended harm. Local bumblebee species and subspecies should be targeted for commercial development and produced within their native ranges.

Research needed

Research is needed into the nesting ecology of the most abundant and widely distributed *Bombus* species on the Island of Newfoundland with the view to assessing the feasibility of commercially producing a local native species for commercial pollination purposes. The research should include a risk assessment of commercial production related to out-competition with other native *Bombus* species and magnification of endemic *Bombus* pathogens, pests and diseases.

5. Market research for the apicultural industry in NL

Problem

According to Sanford and Hoopingarner (1992:743), 500 colonies was the “traditional line between part-time and full-time beekeepers, for statistical purposes” in the United States. However, they thought that as many as 2,000 colonies would be required to support a single beekeeping family (i.e., at the time of writing in 1990). Also for the U.S., Daberkow, et al. (2009:608) note that the “2007 Agricultural Census reported that nearly 28,000 farms reported having at least one colony. A recent study noted that only about 1,500 farms are considered commercial beekeepers (i.e., a year ending inventory of more than 300 colonies) who accounted for nearly 90 percent of all colonies in the U.S. in 2002 for an average of around 1,400 colonies per farm.” According to Melhim, et al. (2010:8-9), in Canada fully commercial beekeepers work more than 300 colonies and they are “full time, possibly migratory beekeepers who rely for their income on producing honey in commercial quantities, in the case of honeybee farms, and on rendering remunerated pollination service to both and non-local farmers, in the case of both honeybee and *non-Apis* bee farms.”

Miels d’Anicet and Api Culture Hautes Laurentides Inc. are a successful commercial beekeeping entity in Quebec owned and operated by Anicet Derocher and Anne-Virginie Schmidt.¹⁷ Their operation is based on approximately 1,500 colonies supporting a rural store, and sophisticated on-line marketing/sales of organic honey, pollen, propolis, beeswax, and body care products. Breeding and queen-rearing varroosis resistant stock are also important ingredients in the success of the Derocher-Schmidt enterprise. Pollination services are not part of their business model. Owned and operated by Tom Cosman and Mary Ann Whidden, Cosman and Whidden Honey in Greenwich, Nova Scotia, is another successful commercial beekeeping operation selling multiple bee products as well as pollination services. They run approximately 2,000 colonies each year.¹⁸ Veteran Nova Scotian beekeeper, Tony Phillips, says that one person can

¹⁷ See <https://www.mielsdanicet.com/#/elevation> (accessed 13 Mar. 2017).

¹⁸ See <http://www.novascotiahoney.com/index.htm> (accessed 13 Mar. 2017).

make a frugal living running 300 to 500 colonies per year without the need to hire seasonal back-up labour. However, all of the larger beekeeping operations engage seasonal labour.¹⁹

With respect to pollination contracts, the Nova Scotia Beekeepers Association recommends “hive stocking rates for fruit crops” of three colonies per acre.²⁰ At these rates, about 1,500 honey bee colonies would be required to pollinate the approximately 500 acres of land in cranberry production on the Island of Newfoundland.²¹ According to Statistics Canada, the amount of land in blueberry production in NL declined from 1,918 acres in 2006 to 1,062 acres in 2011.²² Close to 3,200 honey bee colonies would have been required to pollinate all of the 1,062 acres of blueberry fields in the province in 2011.

Currently, in the absence of pollination contracts, all commercial beekeepers in the province sell their honey and other products domestically, through health and speciality food stores, craft fairs, and directly to upscale restaurants in St. John’s. In December 2016, retail honey prices in speciality stores in St. John’s varied from a high of \$107.14/kg (56 g jar) to a low of \$27/kg (1 kg container).²³ There appears to be little in the way of out-of-province sales, and no beekeeper sells to industrial honey packers.

As noted by Walke (2015), “the sale and use of honeybees for research purposes is a more important opportunity than commercial sale off the island.... Marketing honeybees for research purposes and to provide other breeding programs and mite-free locations may prove to be a more lucrative development direction.”²⁴

Research needed

In theory, income from the provision of pollination services to blueberry, cranberry and other fruit producers on the Island of Newfoundland could contribute significantly to the economic viability of several commercial beekeeping operations in the province, when mixed with income from the sale of honey, pollen, beeswax, nucleus colonies and other bee products. However, opportunities for growth in commercial beekeeping appear limited unless blueberry and cranberry acreage expands significantly, and/or other aspects of beekeeping can be developed

¹⁹ Tony Phillips, personal communication with Peter Armitage, 13 March 2017.

²⁰ See <http://www.nsbeekeepers.ca/newBeekeepersDetail.php?Pollination-Standard-12> (accessed 13 Mar. 2017). See also, Eaton and Nams (2012:1308-1309). They recommend placing up to 4 hives per hectare in wild blueberry fields in Nova Scotia where densities of non-Apis bee species are low.

²¹ Re. acreage in cranberry production, see <http://www.acoa-apeca.gc.ca/eng/Agency/MediaRoom/NewsReleases/Pages/4398.aspx> (accessed 13 Mar. 2017).

²² See 2011 Census in Agriculture. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/95-640-x/2011001/p1/prov/prov-10-eng.htm> (accessed 13 Mar. 2017).

²³ Peter Armitage price survey, December 2016.

²⁴ Of course, the economic potential of clean-bee exports can only be realized if the Island of Newfoundland is able to control effectively the importation of live bees and used beekeeping equipment, both prerequisites for the maintenance of the relatively-free pathogen, pest, and disease status. Dean Harron, vice-president of the Thunder Bay Beekeepers’ Association, reports that his region its Varroa-free status three years ago when a maverick beekeeper imported five infected colonies. Every apiary was infected with three years. Harron had a clean bee export business prior to the recent arrival of Varroa, but this was destroyed once the pest took hold there (Dean Harron personal communication with Peter Armitage, 11 January 2017).

and marketed in innovative ways.

Market research is required to address a number of questions:

- What quantity of lands must be put into cranberry and blueberry production in order to support expanded commercial beekeeping?
- Do we have the bee forage capacity to support a large number of beekeepers including commercial and hobby people? (see forage capacity research priority).
- Where are cranberry and blueberry farming operations located in relation to existing commercial beekeepers? (see forage capacity research priority).
- Where are the best locations for apiary placement, by commercial and hobby beekeepers, in order to maximize honey production? (see forage capacity research priority).
- What market conditions (market prices for small fruits) must exist in order for it to be financially viable for cranberry and blueberry producers to purchase pollination services from commercial beekeepers, in the absence of government subsidy?
- How many pollination contracts do commercial beekeepers require in order to achieve economies of scale; so that per colony rental fees approach those on the Mainland of Canada, and are therefore more affordable for berry producers in NL (approx. \$165 per production colony).
- What other crops would benefit from honey bee pollination in NL; strawberry, raspberry, squash, pumpkin, zucchini, greenhouse crops, what else? What is the cost-benefit of pollination services for such crops? Can producers of such crops afford honey bee pollination services?
- Given NL's unique status as being relatively free of *Varroa* and a variety of other pathogens, pests, and diseases, what markets are there nationally and internationally for "clean-bee" exports? What is the potential economic value of these exports? What if anything is required with respect to honey bee breeding (genetics) in order to enhance the marketability of our honey bees in relation to national or international customers?
- What is the potential for the production of certified organic honey and other bee products in the province? What is the economic feasibility of going organic? Are there areas in the province free of pesticides and herbicides where honey bees can be pastured for organic honey production? What are the costs and regulatory challenges related to organic certification?
- What are the domestic and out-of-province markets for NL honey bee products? At what point does the domestic market become saturated with product, given the current price range of this product and the consumer demographic willing to pay top dollar for it? What are the characteristics of the demographic willing to pay top dollar for our product? Are beekeepers in NL willing to sell product to more price-sensitive demographics at lower prices? What are the minimal prices below which commercial beekeeping is not economically viable?

For excerpts from supporting references see Appendix 1 (16).

6. Best practices for honey bee management in a NL context

a. Indoor wintering of honey bees

Problem

Indoor wintering of honey bee colonies is as old as the hills. “Wintering beehives in cellars is described in great detail in beekeeping publications up to, and including, the 1920’s....Areas where cellar wintering was considered desirable included the Canadian Prairie Provinces, Northern Ontario, Quebec, and the North Central United States” (Furgala and McCutcheon, 1992:850, see also Melanthopoulos, nd).²⁵ Methods of wintering including physical requirements, storage design, and ventilation, temperature, and humidity control requirements have been well-researched elsewhere in North America. To-date, two commercial beekeepers in NL have started to winter colonies indoors, in particular, their weaker colonies. The main reason for indoor wintering of colonies is to reduce winter mortality.

Research needed

Research is required with respect to cost-effective best practices for indoor wintering elsewhere in North America, so that they can be tailored to the needs of beekeepers in NL, given our prolonged cool and humid “spring” conditions. This research should include overwintering of nucs and winter banking of mated queens.

b. Winter feeding of honey bees in NL

Problem

Winter is long and difficult for beekeepers in NL and the months of May and June hardly qualify as spring months given the frequently cool temperatures and rain that is common at that time of the year. Climatic conditions are probably the single-most important limiting condition affecting honey bee survival and honey production in the province. Typically, nucleus colonies are not ready for sale here until the middle of July, compared to at least a month earlier in southern Ontario. At the moment, best practice for preparing colonies for winter is to take honey off for processing in September and to feed 2:1 sugar syrup continuously well into October if at all possible. Colonies should be wintered in two deep brood chambers with about 120 pounds of honey stores.

Research needed

Despite best efforts to prepare their colonies for winter, many beekeepers here believe in the need to provide supplementary sucrose feeding and pollen during the winter and early spring in order to prevent colony starvation. Various methods have been used including sugar candy,

²⁵ Jean-Claude Picard (Les Ruchers de Gallix), a commercial beekeeper based in Sept-Iles, Quebec, winters his colonies in a garage. Jean-Claude Picard personal communication to Peter Armitage, 15 January 2017.

fondant, and raw table sugar (on newspaper) placed on top of the frames in the upper deep. Liquid sugar syrup cannot be used until the risk of freezing has subsided. Irradiated pollen or substitute patties are also placed on top of the frames, toward the end of March, in order to stimulate egg laying by the queen and to provide nourishment to the brood. Research (systematic study and analysis) is required to determine the best methods of supplementary feeding of colonies during winter and early spring in NL.

c. Early season mating and nucleus colony development

Problem

Winter is long and difficult for beekeepers in NL; the months of May and June hardly qualify as spring months given the frequently cool temperatures and rain that are common at that time of the year. Climatic conditions are probably the single-most important limiting condition affecting honey bee survival and honey production in the province (see Canadian Association of Professional Apiculturists, 2017:5-6). Typically, nucleus colonies are not ready for sale here until the middle of July, compared to at least a month earlier in southern Ontario. The reason for this is because of inadequate numbers of sexually mature drones and difficulty mating virgin queens in June due to frequently cool, wet climatic conditions.

Government restrictions in the past have made it impossible for beekeepers, particularly novice ones, to import nucleus colonies or packages from the Mainland, such that they could build their colonies more quickly for winter. These restrictions are strongly endorsed by the NLBKA because they are the best weapon against the inadvertent introduction of *Varroa* and other pathogens, pests, and diseases into the province. Restrictions are likely to continue into the future as long as the province's pathogen profile remains unchanged.

Research needed

Beekeepers in NL would benefit greatly from having nucleus colonies available at least three weeks earlier in the season. This would provide additional time to draw comb and build colony strength in preparation for winter. Quicker access to nucs requires that virgin queens be mated earlier. Therefore, research is needed regarding early season mating of virgin queens in order to facilitate the production of nucleus colonies earlier in the beekeeping season.

Appendix 1. Excerpts from Supporting References

2a. Baseline study of NL honey bee genetics

"Subject to correction from the Newfoundland Bee Company breeders, I have observed the following traits in their Newfoundland bee line since 2009. And what a beautiful bee they have created. First: a very gentle bee. When you open the hive on a hot day they just stroll around. No agitation, no guard bees lining up and looking at you. Nada. Second: gradual spring builders; fine for our gradual spring climate, but explosive breeders when the weather gets right (I think that is the Russian). Third: some colonies kick out the drones on the first cool night in September while others do it three or four weeks later (Must be the Carniolan coming out). Fourth: I regularly come out into spring with an excess of honey stores before the big breeding cycle comes on (Perhaps a bit of Russian?). Whatever you may conclude, this is what we have and it is Great! We have Wally Skinner and his successors Andrea and Paige to thank. We are very fortunate!" — Dan Price, past-president, NLBKA.

"No genetic profile of Newfoundland's honeybee population has been conducted to date. It is therefore difficult to assess any actual long term or short term risk of inbreeding within Newfoundland's honeybee colonies. However, it is clear that some beekeeping operations stand at a disadvantage when faced with very low colony numbers, geographic isolation, high winter mortality, and the absence of a guaranteed supply of bees from within the island. As a result, cooperation among Newfoundland's beekeepers in the design of a breeding scheme or breeding program may be highly beneficial in order to ensure the sustainability of individual operations" — Stephan Walke, 2015:13.

3. Honey Bee Forage Research

"We perceive another serious risk to *Apis mellifera* and pollination in New Brunswick is due to starvation, with the vast majority of the province's woodlands containing scant summer blossoms. When pollination is complete — and wild blueberry pollination is the largest crop we have in New Brunswick — we rely on the goodwill of local farmers to allow us to place our colonies on their property, out of the way. The modern-day shift in agriculture practices is one of the causes for concern. The increase in corn plantation is virtually of no benefit to honeybees....Also, farmers tend to mow their alfalfa and clover crops as they come into blossom, when they are at their peak of nutritional value, thus depriving the bees of the vital pollen and nectar they need for overwinter survival....There is an opportunity perhaps to resolve the starvation problem by having all government highways and those types of projects incorporate the seeding of roadway shoulders with seeds from perennial flowers that are normally considered weeds. I would suggest dandelion, purple vetch, Dutch clover and other flowers that bloom at different times of the year. Not only would it provide forage for bees, it would stabilize the land and provide food for small, seed-eating wildlife. There are also tracts of abandoned farmland becoming overgrown with alder bushes. These lands could also be planted

with the above crops, and also sweet clover” — Paul Vautour, Maritime Delegate to the Canadian Honey Council, 2014.

“...[w]e as beekeepers should be utilizing the bee pasture resource of an area to the maximum. Almost everywhere honey bees are kept in Ontario, at least 30-40 bee hives can be kept at two mile intervals. A beekeeper with one or a very few colonies should not expect to monopolize such a potential resource by claiming such an area as his exclusive right to that bee yard area. There could be several small bee yards within this area. If a commercial beekeeper who is trying to make his living from the bees has established bee yards already, then the smaller beekeepers should respect that the resource is being well utilized there and respect that beekeeper’s claim on that resource area. Too many bees in an area can make it non-productive for everyone. We do not have a very good mechanism in place currently to utilize the beekeeping potential resource of each possible bee yard location in Ontario. Conflict can occur between many small scale beekeepers over bee yard locations where there is plenty of resource for all of them in a relatively small area. It would be nice if the registered beekeepers could go on a restricted internet site and see where the registered bee yards are with the number of colonies at each site. That would allow beekeepers to come to agreements to work the resource to what it can withstand” — Doug McRory, Retired Provincial Apiarist (Ontario), 2015.

“Forage is the basis of a healthy colony’s immune system. Yet, most areas of the state saw very low levels of abundant natural forage and rainfall this year adversely affecting the colonies’ immunity” — Bill Castro, 2017.

“The species richness of wild bees and other pollinators has declined over the past 50 years, with some species undergoing major declines and a few going extinct. Evidence of the causes of these losses is patchy and incomplete, owing to inadequate monitoring systems....Habitat loss, which has reduced the abundance and diversity of floral resources and nesting opportunities, has undoubtedly been a major long-term driver through the 20th century and still continues today....Although the causes of pollinator decline may be complex and subject to disagreement, solutions need not be; taking steps to reduce or remove any of these stresses is likely to benefit pollinator health. Several techniques are available that have been demonstrated to effectively increase floral availability in farmland. Similarly, encouraging gardeners to grow appropriate bee-friendly flowers and to improve management of amenity grass-lands can also reduce dietary stress. Retaining or restoring areas of seminatural habitat within farmland will improve nest site availability” — Dave Goulson, et al., 2015.

“In most cases, success in beekeeping depends on the availability of sufficient bee forage in terms of both quality and quantity of nectar and pollen grains. Hence, beekeeping is more dependent on the existing natural resource conditions of an area than any other livestock activities. In areas, where beekeeping is not suitable, other improved management skills and advanced technologies alone cannot make beekeeping successful. For this reason, availability of adequate bee forage is considered to be one of the most important elements in beekeeping industry” — Ahmed Al-Ghamdi, et al., 2014.

“The results of this study suggest that colonies may suffer from a nutritional deficiency during their stay in large-scale blueberry crops in which weeds are intensively managed. This can affect honey bee colony brood development quite rapidly, although the effects are only observable a few weeks later by beekeepers in the field. Our findings reflect results from a recent study by Odoux et al. (2012) in which the authors demonstrated that weeds are a critical source of pollen for honey bees in agrarian environments....different plant species in an area can act together to attract and maintain a population of pollinators and thus contribute to enhancing the pollination of a monoculture” — MéliSSa Girard, et al., 2012.

“It is clear that adequate nectar and pollen resources are critical in maintaining honey bee health....A deficiency in quantity and quality of pollen and nectar can lead to demographic decrease of the colony; pollen deficiency leads to low colony populations, which in turn further reduces the number of bees available to collect pollen and nectar....Moreover, recent work shows the importance of pollen quality and diversity on the immune response of honey bees....The role of these two nutrients is so critical that beekeepers often must provide supplements in the form of sugar syrup or pollen supplement to prevent nutritional deficiency and colony failure. However, pollen supplements and syrup do not provide the same nutritive quality as natural pollen and nectar....This nutritional demand could be supplemented by crop producers and rural landowners through the protection and enhancement of additional flower resources in non-cropped areas” — Axel Decourtye, et al., 2010.

One objective of the UK agri-environment schemes is to enhance the abundance and diversity of flowering plant species within arable systems through changes in management within or at the margins of fields. Field margins are a key feature of agricultural landscapes and there are well-documented agronomic and ecological reasons why they have become the focus of management options within the schemes....As predicted, uncropped margins sown with mixtures containing nectar- and pollen-producing plants were more effective in providing bumble bee forage than margins sown with a grass mix, allowed to regenerate naturally or managed as conservation headlands. Our results demonstrate that, using evidence-based habitat creation, uptake of selected options within ELS [Environmental Stewardship, Entry Level] in England could have a positive impact on bumble bees, including species of conservation concern — Carvell, et al., 2006.

5. Market research for the apicultural industry in NL

“In addition, significant potential exists to provide disease-free, chemical-free bees for research purposes....Given that Newfoundland’s honeybees have not been exposed to many of the stresses on the mainland, one respondent identified the sale and use of honeybees for research purposes as a more important opportunity than commercial sale off the island. Here we encounter the issue of honeybee genetics interacting with disease resistance and therefore market potential” — Walke (2015:18).

“Significant attention is being given to the use of genetic research and honeybee breeding in order to increase mite resistance (OPERA, 2013, Rinderer et al., 2000; University of Guelph,

n.d.). Honeybee breeding has traditionally been focussed on maximizing commercially significant traits such as honey production, temperament, and colony growth (Delaney et al., 2009). Breeding for mite resistance in honeybees can involve a number of behavioural traits (Sammataro, 2012) or even targets of mite growth rate (Fries, 2012). However, breeding for resistance requires exposure to infestation pressure (Cauia, 2010; OPERA, 2013). Therefore, the sale of mite/disease-free honeybees to infested commercial operations outside the province will not be viable unless collaboration with mainland breeding programs is maintained and a focus on producing mite-resistant honeybee strains is upheld in Newfoundland. It would be wise to prioritize production goals and assess market feasibility for the potential sale of honeybees outside the province. Marketing honeybees for research purposes and to provide other breeding programs and mite-free locations may prove to be a more lucrative development direction” — Walke (2015:18).

“It is clear that Newfoundland’s pollination capacity is not matched to its agricultural productivity, either in terms of pollinator numbers or the logistics of their rental, distribution or transport. That being said, mutual interest from both beekeepers and crop producers has been identified. Therefore, boosting honeybee populations on the island and coordinating communication and cooperation between fruit producers and beekeepers could aid in increasing the sustainability of these industries as well as their provincial independence” — Walke (2015:20).

References

- Al-Ghamdi, Ahmed, Nuru Adgaba, Awraris Getachew, Yilma Tadesse. 2014. "New approach for determination of an optimum honeybee colony's carrying capacity based on productivity and nectar secretion potential of bee forage species." *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 20 October. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.09.020>
- Blaauw, Brett R. and Rufus Isaacs. 2014. "Flower plantings increase wild bee abundance and the pollination services provided to a pollination-dependent crop." *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 51:890-898.
- Bromenshenk, J.J., C.B. Henderson, C.H. Wick, M.F. Stanford, A.W. Zulich, R.E. Jabbour, et al. 2010. "Iridovirus and Microsporidian Linked to Honey Bee Colony Decline." *PLoS ONE*. 5(10): e13181. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013181
- Canadian Association of Professional Apiculturists. 2017. Canadian Association of Professional Apiculturists Statement on Honey Bee Wintering Losses in Canada (2016). Prepared by CAPA National Survey Committee and Provincial Apiculturists. <http://www.capabees.com/shared/2015/07/2016-CAPA-Statement-on-Colony-Losses-July-19.pdf>
- Carvell, C., W.R. Meek, R.F. Pywell, D. Goulson, and M. Nowakowski. 2006. "Comparing the efficacy of agri-environment schemes to enhance bumble bee abundance and diversity on arable field margins." *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 44(1):29-40. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01249.x
- Castro, Bill. 2017. "Putting a face on Maryland's unsustainable losses." *American Bee Journal*. Letter to the editor. 157(2):121.
- Chapman, Nadine C. and Benjamin P. Oldroyd. 2008. "Population Genetics of Commercial and Feral Honey Bees in Western Australia." *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 101(2):272-277.
- Colla, S.R., M.C. Otterstatter, R.J. Gegear and J.D. Thomson. 2006. "Plight of the bumble bee: pathogen spillover from commercial to wild populations." *Biological Conservation*. 129:461-467.
- Daberkow, S., R. Rucker, W. Thurman, and M. Burgett. 2009. "U.S. Honey Markets: Recent Changes and Historical Perspective." *American Bee Journal*. 149:1125-1129.
- Daughenbaugh, Katie F., Madison Martin, Laura M. Brutscher, Ian Cavigli, Emma Garcia, Matt Lavin, and Michelle L. Flenniken. 2015. *Viruses*. 7:3285-3309.
- Decourtye, Axel, Eric Mader, and Nicolas Desneux. 2010. "Landscape enhancement of floral resources for honey bees in agro-ecosystems." *Apidologie*. 41, p.265.

- Eaton, Leonard, J. and Vilis O. Nams. 2012. "Honey bee stocking numbers and wild blueberry production in Nova Scotia." *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*. 92:1305-1310.
- Furgala, B., and D. M. McCutcheon. 1992. "Wintering Productive Colonies." In Joe M. Graham (ed.). *The Hive and the Honey Bee*. Hamilton, Illinois: Dadant & Sons. pp.829-868.
- Fürst, M.A., D.P. McMahon, J.L. Osborne, R.J. Paxton, and M.J.F. Brown. 2014. "Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators." *Nature*. 506:364–366.
- Garibaldi, Lucas A., et al. 2014. "From research to action: enhancing crop yield through wild pollinator." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. 12(8): 439-447.
- Girard, Mélissa, Madeleine Chagnon, and Valérie Fournier. 2012. "Pollen diversity collected by honey bees in the vicinity of *Vaccinium* spp. crops and its importance for colony development." *Botany*. 90:553-554.
- Goulson, Dave, Elizabeth Nicholls, Cristina Botías, Ellen L. Rotheray. 2015. "Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers." *Science*. 347(6229):1435.
- Graystock, P., K. Yates, B. Darvill, D. Goulson, and W.O.H. Hughes. 2013a. "Emerging dangers: deadly effects of an emergent parasite in a new pollinator host." *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*. 114:114-119.
- Graystock, P., K. Yates, S.E.F. Evison, B. Darvill, D. Goulson, and W.O.H. Hughes. 2013b. "The Trojan hives: pollinator pathogens, imported and distributed in bumblebee colonies." *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 50:1207–1215.
- Graystock, P., D. Goulson, and W.O.H. Hughes. 2015. "Parasites in bloom: flowers aid dispersal and transmission of pollinator parasites within and between bee species." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*. 282: 20151371.
- Hicks, B.J. 2015. The Status of Native Bee Species in Newfoundland and Labrador. Report to Department of Conservation and Environment, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador (An updated excerpt from a report submitted to Department of Conservation and Environment, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, 2009).
- Hicks, B.J. 2014. "The History and Present Status of Honey Bee Keeping in Newfoundland and Labrador." *The Osprey*. 45(3):11-14. <http://nlbeekeeping.ca/data/documents/Hicks2014-BeeKeepingNL.pdf> (accessed 14 March 2017).
- Hicks, B.J. 2011. "Pollination of Lowbush Blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) in Newfoundland by Native and Introduced Bees." *Journal of the Acadian Entomological Society*. 7:108-118.

<http://nlbeekeeping.ca/data/documents/2011-Hicks-PollinatorJAES.pdf> (accessed 14 March 2017).

International Union for Conservation of Nature. Nd. Commercial Bumblebee Policy Statement. Bumblebee Specialist Group. <https://www.iucn.org/ssc-groups/invertebrates/bumblebee-specialist-group/commercial-bumblebee-policy-statement> (accessed 14 March 2017).

Kennedy, Christina M., et al. 2013. "A global quantitative synthesis of local and landscape effects on wild bee pollinators in agroecosystems." *Ecology Letters*. 16:584-599.

Lebuhn, Gretchen, et al. 2012. "Detecting insect pollinator declines on regional and global scales." *Conservation Biology*. 27(1):113-120.

Manley, R., M. Boots, and L. Wilfert. 2015. "Emerging viral disease risk to pollinating insects: ecological, evolutionary and anthropogenic factors." *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 52:331–340.

McMahon, D.P., M.E. Natsopoulou, V. Doublet, M. Fürst, S. Weging, M.J.F. Brown, A. Gogol-Döring, and R.J. Paxton. 2016. "Elevated virulence of an emerging viral genotype as a driver of honeybee loss." *Pro. R. Soc. B*. 283: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0811>

McMahon, D.P., M. A. Fürst, J. Caspar, P. Theodorou, M.J.F. Brown, R.J. Paxton, R.J. 2015. "A sting in the spit: widespread cross-infection of multiple RNA viruses across wild and managed bees." *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 84:615-624.

McRory, Doug. 2015. "Beekeeping ethics around bee yard locations." *Nova Scotia Bee News*. January, p.11.

Melanthopoulos, Adony. Nd. "The Biology and Management of Colonies in Winter." Canadian Association of Professional Apiculturists. Beaverlodge Research Farm, Beaverlodge, Alberta. <http://capabees.org/content/uploads/2013/02/winteringpdf.pdf> (accessed 14 March 2017).

Melhim, Almuhanad, Alfons Weersink, Zach Daly, and Nicholas Bennett. 2010. Beekeeping in Canada: Honey and Pollination Outlook. NSERC-CANPOLIN Report, Canadian Pollination Initiative. CANPOLIN publication #6.

Morandin, Lora A., Mark L. Winston, Virginia A. Abbott, and Michelle T. Franklin. 2007. "Can pastureland increase wild bee abundance in agriculturally intense areas?" *Basic and Applied Ecology*. 8:117-124.

National Bee Diagnostic Centre, 2016. National Honey Bee Health Survey. https://www.gprc.ab.ca/research/initiatives/nbdc/projects/current/nat_survey.html

National Bee Diagnostic Centre. 2015. Canadian National Honey Bee Health Survey. 2015 Report. Beaverlodge, Alberta.

Pywell, R.F., E.A. Warman, L. Hulmes, S. Hulmes, P. Nuttall, T.H. Sparks, C.N.R. Critchley, and A. Sherwood. 2006. "Effectiveness of new agri-environmental schemes in providing foraging resources for bumblebees in intensively farmed landscapes." *Biological Conservation*. 129:192-206.

Pywell, R.F., E.A. Warman, C. Carvell, T.H. Sparks, L.V. Dicks, D. Bennett, A. Wright, C.N.R. Critchley, and A. Sherwood. 2005. "Providing foraging resources for bumblebees in intensively farmed landscapes." *Biological Conservation*. 121:479-494.

Rundlöf, Maj, Helena Nilsson, Henrik G. Smith. 2008. "Interacting effects of farming practice and landscape context on bumble bees." *Biological Conservation*. 141:417-426.

Sanford, Malcolm T. and Roger A. Hoopinger. 1992. "Business Practices and Profitability." In Joe M. Graham [ed.]. *The Hive and the Honey Bee*. Hamilton, Illinois: Dadant & Sons.

Sircom, Julie. 2016. Enhancing native pollinators in commercial cranberry fields for increased yield. School of Science and the Environment, Memorial University, Grenfell Campus. Final report to Cranberry Association of Newfoundland and Labrador.

Shutler, Dave, Krista Head, Karen L. Burgher-MacLellan, Megan J. Colwell, Abby L. Levitt, Nancy Ostiguy, Geoffrey R. Williams. 2014. "Honey Bee *Apis mellifera* Parasites in the Absence of *Nosema ceranae* Fungi and *Varroa destructor* Mites." *PLOS One*. 9(6): E98599. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098599.

Vautour, Paul. 2014. *Proceedings of the Standing Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry*. 27 Feb. Issue No. 5, pp.36-37.

Walke, Stephan. 2015. A Hive of Possibility: Exploring factors affecting the sustainability of apiculture in Newfoundland. SRM 4950, Independent Research Project. Memorial University, Grenfell campus, Corner Brook, Newfoundland.

Williams, Geoffrey R. 2010. 2009 Newfoundland and Labrador Honey Bee Disease Survey. Report to Forestry and Agrifoods Agency, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. February.

Williams, Geoffrey R., Krista Head, Karen L. Burgher-MacLellan, Richard E.L. Rogers, Dave Shutler. 2010. "Parasitic Mites and Microsporidians in Managed Western Honey Bee Colonies in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada." *Can. Entomol.* 142:584-588.

Williams, Paul, Robbin Thorp, Leif Richardson and Sheila Colla. 2014. *Bumble Bees of North America: An Identification Guide*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Wratten, Stephen D. Mark Gillespie, Axel Decourtrye, Eric Mader, and Nicolas Desneux. 2012. "Pollinator habitat enhancement: benefits to other ecosystem services." *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 159:112-122.